


Provided for non-commercial research and education use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.


In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Computers and Mathematics with Applications

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/camwa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/camwa)

# The fractional Fourier transform and quadratic field magnetic resonance imaging

Pablo Irarrazaval<sup>a,b</sup>, Carlos Lizama<sup>c,\*</sup>, Vicente Parot<sup>a,b</sup>, Carlos Sing-Long<sup>a,b</sup>, Cristian Tejos<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Av. Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Santiago, Chile

<sup>b</sup> Biomedical Imaging Center, Av. Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Santiago, Chile

<sup>c</sup> Departamento de Matemática y Ciencia de la Computación, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Santiago de Chile, Casilla 307-Correo2, Santiago, Chile

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

Fractional Fourier transform  
Periodic strongly continuous semigroups  
Magnetic resonance imaging

## ABSTRACT

The fractional Fourier transform (FrFT) is revisited in the framework of strongly continuous periodic semigroups to restate known results and to explore new properties of the FrFT. We then show how the FrFT can be used to reconstruct Magnetic Resonance (MR) images acquired under the presence of quadratic field inhomogeneity. Particularly, we prove that the order of the FrFT is a measure of the distortion in the reconstructed signal. Moreover, we give a dynamic interpretation to the order as time evolution of a function. We also introduce the notion of  $\rho$ - $\alpha$  space as an extension of the Fourier or  $k$ -space in MR, and we use it to study the distortions introduced in two common MR acquisition strategies. We formulate the reconstruction problem in the context of the FrFT and show how the semigroup theory allows us to find new reconstruction formulas for discrete sampled signals. Finally, the results are supplemented with numerical examples that show how it performs in a standard 1D MR signal reconstruction.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The fractional Fourier transform (FrFT) is an extension of the Fourier transform first developed by Kober [1] in the late thirties and rediscovered by Namias [2] in the late seventies. Namias used the FrFT in the context of quantum mechanics as a way to solve certain problems involving quantum harmonic oscillators. He not only stated the standard definition for the FrFT, but also developed an operational calculus for this new transform. The general idea is to consider the eigenvalue decomposition of the Fourier transform in terms of the Hermite (or Gauss–Hermite [3, Chapter 2, Section 5.2]) functions. Then, by continuously interpolating the eigenvalues from 1 to themselves, a family of operators that deform the identity operator into the Fourier transform is obtained. In the particular case of the FrFT, this interpolation is performed by replacing the  $n$ th eigenvalue by its  $a$ th power, for  $a$  between 0 and 1. This value is called the *transform order*. Since this interpolation depends on a single parameter, the interpolating operators form a one-parameter family. Later Kerr [4] exploited this fact to prove that this family is indeed a strongly continuous unitary group. However, the periodic nature of this family was apparently overlooked.

Starting in the eighties and continuing until today, intensive research has been done on applications of the FrFT to problems in applied sciences and physics. Particularly, in optics [5–8] the FrFT fits nicely in the context of *Linear Canonical Transforms* [9], itself an extension of the Fourier transform that has been the subject of extensive research. This allows to construct optical devices that physically produce the fractional Fourier transform of optical signals [10,11]. In turn, it is possible to extend certain quantities to define their fractional counterparts, as is the case for the fractional correlation [12],

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [pim@ing.puc.cl](mailto:pim@ing.puc.cl) (P. Irarrazaval), [carlos.lizama@usach.cl](mailto:carlos.lizama@usach.cl) (C. Lizama), [vparot@uc.cl](mailto:vparot@uc.cl) (V. Parot), [casinglo@uc.cl](mailto:casinglo@uc.cl) (C. Sing-Long), [cjtejos@uc.cl](mailto:cjtejos@uc.cl) (C. Tejos).

[3, Chapter 11, Section 2]. A remarkable aspect of these applications is that the transform order has a natural physical interpretation in terms of the distance between the lenses of the device.

In the past years, these developments have crossed the boundaries of optics into the field of signal processing, as the FrFT has found several applications in that context [13], [3, Chapters 10, 11]. In these cases, the transform order is thought as a fixed parameter that allows us to extract time–frequency information from the signal. However, the physical interpretation depends heavily on the application being considered. As in optics, several concepts defined in the context of time–frequency analysis have been extended to their fractional counterparts, such as fractional uncertainty relations [14–16], fractional convolutions [17] and fractional bandlimited signals [18,19] among others. The notion of a *discrete* FrFT (DFrFT) is crucial in digital signal processing. Concretely, in discrete signal processing there are a discrete and finite number of measurements of a signal on a fractional domain. Using the DFrFT it is possible to find a good approximation of the original signal in the time domain in the same way that an inverse DFT is performed on discrete frequency measurements. Unfortunately, to this day there is no consensus as to which discrete transform is the DFrFT. There are two possible approaches to this transform. The first consists in constructing a set of discrete eigenvectors to reproduce the approach outlined in the first paragraph. This method is commonly known as the DFrFT [3, Chapter 6, Section 2]. The second approach consists in considering the signal as fractional bandlimited, so that the integrals can be truncated and approximated by Riemann sums. These can be calculated using the traditional FFT algorithm [3, Chapter 6, Section 3].

In this work, we show a new application for the FrFT to Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). In MRI, the object or a patient is placed in a strong, homogeneous and constant magnetic field, which interacts with the nuclear spin of the elements composing it, causing the magnetization of the object. These nuclei are excited by means of an electromagnetic radio-frequency (RF) pulse tuned to the resonance frequency of the element that one wants to image, typically hydrogen. Then, as the excited spins decay to their minimal energy state, they emit electromagnetic radiation that is measured as a voltage induced in acquisition coils. To resolve the spatial location of the nuclei, spatial gradients are used to make the frequency and phase of the decay dependent on the position of the nucleus. The relation between the measured signal, called the Magnetic Resonance (MR) signal, and the magnetization of the object (which is proportional to the proton density) is known as the *signal equation* and the problem of recovering the magnetization from this signal is usually understood as a *reconstruction problem*. The remarkable theoretical property of this process is that the MR signal is the Fourier transform of the magnetization of the underlying object.

In MRI, the signal intensity increases with the external field strength and, on the other hand, the reconstruction quality strongly depends on the homogeneity of the external field. To achieve uniform and high fields simultaneously is a difficult technical challenge. Part of the high cost of the main coil comes from this requirement. In general, the homogeneity of the field is easier to achieve with lengthier coils, but this conflicts with the desire of having shorter bores, for patient accessibility and comfort. Manufacturers must trade off an acceptable field inhomogeneity, typically in the order of one part per million, and the bore usability. The final consequence is that there is a remaining inhomogeneity that includes linear, quadratic and higher order terms.

As an example of the impact of magnetic field inhomogeneity, consider functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), which plays an important role in studying localized brain function, both in examining healthy cognitive function and in clinical patient groups. Field homogeneities and the use of standard sampling strategies of the MR signal can produce geometric distortions (e.g. when using Echo Planar Imaging) or blurring effects (e.g. when using spiral imaging), thus affecting the predictions of the subject's brain.

Many different methods to correct the distortions have been proposed [20,21]. In this work, we consider the case when the magnetic field strength happens to be a *quadratic* function of the spatial coordinates. In this specific case, we show that one can model the relationship between the measured signal and the object to be reconstructed by the FrFT.

The purpose of the present work is two-fold. First, we reformulate the FrFT in the framework of *periodic* strongly continuous semigroups. This allows us to state several new identities involving the FrFT and to apply certain inversion formulas. Second, we establish the connection between the reconstruction problem of MRI in presence of quadratic fields with the FrFT. As an application of our work, we use the inversion formulas from the semigroup theory to propose a new approach for the reconstruction problem in MRI. Since the FrFT is itself an extension of the Fourier transform, we show that these methods can be used to solve the reconstruction problem even in absence of inhomogeneities.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we reformulate the FrFT in the framework of *periodic* strongly continuous semigroups. This allows us to explore new properties of the FrFT which are a consequence of this theory. In Section 3 we show how the FrFT fits in the framework of MRI. Particularly, we prove that the order of the FrFT is a measure of the distortion introduced by quadratic field inhomogeneities in the MR signal. We also introduce the notion of  $\rho$ - $\alpha$  space and we use it to study the distortions introduced in two common MRI acquisition strategies. In Section 4 we formulate the reconstruction problem in the context of the FrFT. We also show how the semigroup theory allows us to find new reconstruction formulas for discrete sampled signals. Finally, in Section 5 we show a numerical implementation of this method and show how it performs in a standard 1D MR signal reconstruction.

## 2. A reformulation of the fractional Fourier transform

This section reformulates the fractional Fourier transform (FrFT) within the context of semigroup theory. In turn, formulas of approximation arising in this theory will allow us to propose new methods to reconstruct the magnetization of the object

from the MR signal. To begin, consider  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , the Hilbert space which consists of all complex-valued, Lebesgue measurable functions with domain  $\mathbb{R}$  and such that:

$$\|f\|^2 := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(u)|^2 du < \infty.$$

The FrFT on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  was originally described by Kober [1] and was later rediscovered by Namias in the context of quantum mechanics [2]. One equivalent definition is as follows (see [3, Definition F, p. 132]):

$$\mathcal{F}^a = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}a\mathcal{H}}, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}$  is proportional to the Hamiltonian of the quantum mechanical harmonic oscillator. This is a heuristic definition, because if (2.1) is expanded as a power series, delicate questions of convergence arise. In fact, this is a well studied matter in the theory of strongly continuous semigroups [22]. In an interesting work, Kerr [4] proved that the FrFT constitutes a strongly continuous unitary group of operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . However, the structure of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  as a Hilbert space was not used to its full extent, since Kerr's approach considers the FrFT as defined by an integral formula (cf. Corollary 2.5). On the other hand, the striking fact that the underlying group is periodic seems to have been overlooked.

In this section, we formalize (2.1) by means of the theory of semigroups of linear operators, introducing the definition of the FrFT from a new perspective. In this direction, we also note the interesting and recently published paper [23] which develops a general theory of fractional transformations in the setting of equicontinuous groups of operators on spaces of test-functions and generalized functions, and where the fractional Fourier transform is a special case.

To begin, recall that, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the Gauss–Hermite functions are defined as

$$\psi_n(u) = \frac{2^{1/4}}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} H_n(\sqrt{2\pi}u) e^{-\pi u^2},$$

where

$$H_n(u) = (-1)^n e^{u^2} \frac{d^n}{du^n} e^{-u^2}, \tag{2.2}$$

is the  $n$ th Hermite polynomial. It is a well-known fact that the Gauss–Hermite functions form an orthonormal system in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define the operators  $P_n : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto L^2(\mathbb{R})$  as

$$(P_n f)(u) := \langle f, \psi_n \rangle \psi_n(u).$$

Define now the unbounded linear operator  $\mathcal{H} : D(\mathcal{H}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto L^2(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$\mathcal{H}f(u) := \frac{i}{2} \left( \frac{1}{4} f''(u) - \pi^2 u^2 f(u) + \frac{\pi}{2} f(u) \right), \tag{2.3}$$

with (maximal) domain given by

$$D(\mathcal{H}) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : f'' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } M_{u^2} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\},$$

where  $M_{u^2}$  denotes the multiplication by  $u^2$  operator, i.e.,  $M_{u^2} f(u) := u^2 f(u)$ . We begin our considerations with the following summary of some important properties of the sequence of operators  $\{P_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  that will be used throughout this work.

**Proposition 2.1.** *The following assertions are valid:*

- $\{P_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of bounded and linear projections on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , that is  $P_n P_m = 0$  if  $m \neq n$  and  $P_n^2 = P_n$ .
- For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\|P_n\| \leq 1$ .
- For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $P_n \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H} P_n = -in\frac{\pi}{2} P_n$ .
- $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n f = f$  for all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .
- The domain of  $\mathcal{H}$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

We now turn our attention to dynamical systems (or semigroups) on infinite dimensional spaces. Let  $X$  be a complex Banach space and let  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $X$  endowed with the operator norm. A family  $\{T(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{B}(X)$  is called a group on  $X$  if it satisfies Abel's functional equation:

$$\begin{cases} T(t+s) = T(t)T(s) & \text{for all } t, s \in \mathbb{R}, \\ T(0) = I, \end{cases}$$

and the orbit maps  $t \rightarrow T(t)f$  are continuous from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $X$  for every  $f \in X$ . The infinitesimal generator of the group  $\{T(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is defined by

$$Af := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T(t)f - f}{t},$$

whenever  $f \in D(A)$ . The following is the main result of this section.

**Theorem 2.2.** For all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  the family  $\{T(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  given by

$$T(t)f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-in\frac{\pi}{2}t} P_n f,$$

defines an strongly continuous group in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover,

- $T(t)$  is periodic with period 4.
- $T(1) = \mathcal{F}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes the Fourier transform.

We remark the simplicity of the argument used to prove strong continuity in the [Appendix](#) compared with [4, Theorem 2.2] (see also [24, Theorem 3.3]) and even the simpler way to derive Abel’s functional equation compared with [24, Theorem 4.1]. On the other hand, our argument of proof resembles the employed in the recently appeared paper [23, Theorem 2.2], but with the difference that we have made extensive use of the properties of projection operators established in [Proposition 2.1](#).

We introduce the FrFT by means of the following definition.

**Definition 2.3.** We define the fractional Fourier transform of order  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  for a function  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  as

$$\mathcal{F}^a(f)(\rho) := [T(a)f](\rho), \quad \rho \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The group  $\{T(a)\}_{a \in \mathbb{R}}$  will also be called the *Fourier group*.

The following lemma is the key to rigorously show that  $\{T(a)\}_{a \in \mathbb{R}}$  is, in fact, the same as the usual definition of the FrFT of order  $a$  given by means of an integral formula. The identity stated below can be found in the literature, e.g. in [3]. However, for the sake of completeness, we include a direct proof in the [Appendix](#).

**Lemma 2.4.** For all  $\rho, u \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \pi\mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n(\rho) e^{-in\alpha} \psi_n(u) = \sqrt{1 - i \cot \alpha} e^{i\pi(\rho^2 \cot \alpha - 2\rho u \csc \alpha + u^2 \cot \alpha)}.$$

We remark that to prove this lemma, we put a restriction to the values of  $\alpha$ . This is because the first term provides a definition of the fractional Fourier transform for arguments  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  whereas the second term is valid for arguments  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence, in between, the orthogonality of the Gauss–Hermite functions on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  is lost.

From [Lemma 2.4](#) and [Theorem 2.2](#) we deduce the following result, which shows the well-known and equivalent definition of the FrFT in terms of a Riemann integral.

**Corollary 2.5.** Given  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \pi\mathbb{Z}$  we denote  $a := \frac{2}{\pi}\alpha$ . We have for all  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$[T(a)f](\rho) = \sqrt{1 - i \cot \alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u) e^{i\pi(\rho^2 \cot \alpha - 2\rho u \csc \alpha + u^2 \cot \alpha)} du. \tag{2.4}$$

In practice, the restriction on the values of  $\alpha$  has a number of consequences for the fractional Fourier transform as defined in (2.4). For instance, the behavior of the fractional Fourier transform in the border cases  $\alpha \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$  is not obvious. Moreover, we need to specify which branch of  $\sqrt{1 - i \cot \alpha}$  is being considered. These problems were treated in [24], first by confining the values of  $\alpha$  to the interval  $(-\pi, \pi)$  and then by treating the values on the boundary separately. In contrast, our approach by means of strongly continuous groups produces a definition for the fractional Fourier transform valid for any value of  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

In the next theorem, we summarize some of our previous remarks and we derive new properties and identities using the framework of strongly continuous groups. As is standard, we denote by  $\sigma(\mathcal{H})$  the spectrum and by  $\sigma_p(\mathcal{H})$  the point spectrum of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** The following assertions are valid:

- The infinitesimal generator of the Fourier group is  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- The Fourier group is unitary, that is  $T(t)^* = T(-t)$ .
- $\sigma(\mathcal{H}) = \sigma_p(\mathcal{H}) \subset i\frac{\pi}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ .
- The following identity holds:

$$P_n f = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^4 e^{-in\frac{\pi}{2}s} [T(s)f] ds.$$

In other words,  $P_n f$  is the  $n$ th Fourier coefficient of the fractional Fourier transform  $T(t)$ .



- For all  $\lambda \notin i\frac{\pi}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$(\lambda I - \mathcal{H})^{-1}f = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-4\lambda}} \int_0^4 e^{-\lambda s} [T(s)f] ds.$$

- For all  $f \in D(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\mathcal{H}f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} -in\frac{\pi}{2}P_n f$ .

To finish this section, we take advantage of the variety of approximation formulas of the theory of strongly continuous semigroups to obtain the following result. It will be the basis for the description of a new formula to reconstruct the magnetization from the MR signal given in the next section. For a proof, see the [Appendix](#).

**Theorem 2.7.** For all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  we have in the  $L^2$  norm:

$$T(t)f = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} e^{-tq} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^m q^m}{m!} T\left(\frac{m}{q}\right) f.$$

### 3. The connection between the fractional Fourier transform and magnetic resonance imaging

As seen in the previous section, the fractional Fourier transform offers a framework that extends the classical Fourier transform through the transform order  $a$ . However, it is not always clear how to assign a physical interpretation to this variable. In optics, for example, there are natural interpretations of the order and the transform itself [6–8]. In this section, we will show that in Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), the order is related to the distortion introduced by quadratic field inhomogeneities. This connection shows that the FrFT extends the framework of MRI, from homogeneous fields to quadratic ones. This is remarkable, since one of the technical difficulties of MRI is to produce magnetic fields that are simultaneously high and homogeneous.

As we described in Section 1, the cornerstone of MRI is that the MR signal  $s(t)$  is related to the magnetization of the object through its Fourier transform. To clarify the exposition, we will ignore the exponential decays that affect the MR signal ( $T_1$  and  $T_2$  relaxations) and we will consider that a Larmor frequency demodulation has been performed [25]. Consequently, the equation of the MR signal generated by a 1D object in an uniform magnetic field  $B(u) = B_0$  is:

$$s(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u) e^{-2\pi i k(t)u} du \tag{3.1}$$

where  $k(t)$  is a predetermined function that represents how the measurements are performed, i.e., the *trajectory* traversed on the Fourier domain (for a discussion of some common choices for  $k(t)$ , see below). Eq. (3.1) is called *the signal equation*. It essentially tells us that the Fourier transform of  $f$  evaluated at  $k(t)$  equals  $s(t)$ . Now consider a field inhomogeneity, i.e., let  $B(u) = B_0 + p(u)$  be a non-constant function of space. In this case, (3.1) becomes

$$s(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u) e^{-2\pi i (k(t)u + p(u)t)} du.$$

If this inhomogeneity  $p(u)$  corresponds to a second order polynomial  $p(u) = p_2u^2 + p_1u + p_0$ , then the signal equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} s(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u) e^{-2\pi i (k(t)u + (p_2u^2 + p_1u + p_0)t)} du \\ &= e^{-2\pi i p_0 t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u) e^{i\pi (-2p_2tu^2 - 2(k(t) + p_1t)u)} du. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

From [Corollary 2.5](#), the fractional Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}^a$  ( $0 < a < 2$ ) of a function  $f(u)$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{F}^a(f)(\rho) = C_\alpha(\rho) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u) e^{i\pi (u^2 \cot \alpha - 2\rho u \csc \alpha)} du, \tag{3.3}$$

where:


$$C_\alpha(\rho) \equiv e^{i\pi \rho^2 \cot \alpha} \sqrt{1 - i \cot \alpha}, \quad \alpha \equiv a\pi/2. \tag{3.4}$$

Consider the following change of variables:

$$\alpha(t) \equiv \cot^{-1}(-2p_2t), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\rho(t) \equiv \frac{k(t) + p_1t}{\sqrt{1 + 4p_2^2t^2}} = \frac{k(t) + p_1t}{\csc \alpha(t)}. \tag{3.6}$$

It is clear that by means of these relations, we can transform (3.2) into the FrFT of variable order of  $f$ . We deduce the following result.



**Fig. 1.** Example of the trajectories described on the  $\rho$ - $\alpha$  space. (A) Shows a one dimensional constant gradient trajectory whereas (B) shows a one dimensional 2DFT trajectory.

**Theorem 3.1.** For quadratic field inhomogeneities, the signal  $s(t)$  multiplied by a weight factor depending on  $t$  are the samples of the fractional Fourier transform of variable order.

For a proof, see the Appendix. It is important to note that normally  $k(t) = 0$  for  $t < 0$ , that is, the system is causal. Thus, if we choose the branch of  $\cot^{-1}$  such that  $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$ , then  $\alpha(0) = \pi/2$  ( $a = 1$ ) and  $\csc \alpha(t) > 1$ . Consequently, for finite values of  $t$  we are far from  $a = 0$  or  $a = 2$ , which could eventually pose problems with the integral definition of the FrFT in (3.3).

The definition of  $\alpha$  and  $\rho$  in (3.5) and (3.6) provides a parametric trajectory  $(\rho(t), \alpha(t))$  in a space we call the  $\rho$ - $\alpha$  space. Since  $\alpha$  is an angle, this space is conveniently represented in polar coordinates. Assuming that  $p_2 < 0$  (see Section 3.1) and by virtue of the previous discussion, the trajectory in the  $\rho$ - $\alpha$  space starts immediately after the excitation ( $t = 0$ ) in the frequency axis or Fourier axis ( $\alpha = \pi/2$  or  $a = 1$ ) and as time passes it curves toward the object axis ( $\alpha = a = 0$ ).

In the following paragraphs we analyze some common trajectories  $k(t)$  when distorted by a quadratic field. Particularly, we analyze this distortion using the  $\rho$ - $\alpha$  space trajectories described.

### 3.1. Constant gradient

One common trajectory assumes that  $k(t) = 0$  for  $t < 0$  and  $k(t) = G_0 t$  for  $t \geq 0$ , for  $G_0$  constant. Physically, this corresponds to a constant readout gradient as would be the case in a projection reconstruction sequence. For simplicity, assume that the inhomogeneity is purely quadratic, i.e.,  $p(u) = p_2 u^2$ . Additionally, assume that  $p_2 < 0$ , which resembles the typical case in that the intensity of the  $B_0$  field is greater in the center of a magnet. Linear and constant terms can be ignored without loss of generality, because the first is equivalent to a change in  $G_0$  and is easily corrected [26], whereas the second can be corrected by an appropriate modulation of the MR signal. Then the trajectory in  $\rho$ - $\alpha$  space is

$$\alpha(t) = \cot^{-1}(-2p_2 t) = \cot^{-1}(2|p_2|t),$$

$$\rho(t) = k(t) \sin \alpha(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0, \\ G_0 t \sin \alpha(t) & t \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$G_0 t \sin \alpha(t) = \frac{G_0}{2|p_2|} \cot \alpha(t) \sin \alpha(t) = \frac{G_0}{2|p_2|} \cos \alpha(t).$$

Consequently, the  $\rho$ - $\alpha$  trajectory is a circumference centered at  $(G_0/4|p_2|, 0)$ . Fig. 1(A) shows this trajectory starting in  $t = 0$  at the origin and asymptotically approaching the object axis as  $t$  grows.

For small values of  $t$ , the trajectory departs little from the frequency axis, and therefore distortions due to field variations are small. This is consistent with the general knowledge that short readouts are less sensitive to inhomogeneity. Moreover, as  $p_2$  tends to zero the field inhomogeneity vanishes and the center of the circumference located at  $G_0/4|p_2|$  tends to infinity. Consequently, the  $\rho$ - $\alpha$  trajectory becomes a straight line in the frequency direction:

$$\alpha(t) \equiv \frac{\pi}{2},$$

$$\rho(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0, \\ G_0 t & t \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

### 3.2. Standard 2DFT readout

Another commonly used trajectory assumes that  $k(t)$  linearly decreases until a given time  $t_0$  is reached and then linearly increases passing through the origin, a standard procedure in 2DFT readouts [25]. Concretely,

$$k(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0, \\ -G_0 t & 0 \leq t < t_0, \\ G_0(t - 2t_0) & t_0 \leq t, \end{cases}$$

where  $G_0$  is a constant representing the magnitude of the readout gradient and  $t_0$  is the instant where its sign is changed. Assuming once more that the inhomogeneity is  $p(u) = p_2 u^2$  with  $p_2 < 0$ , the trajectory in  $\rho$ - $\alpha$  space is given by

$$\alpha(t) = \cot^{-1}(-2p_2 t),$$

$$\rho(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 4p_2^2 t^2}} \times \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0, \\ -G_0 t & 0 \leq t < t_0, \\ G_0(t - 2t_0) & t_0 \leq t. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$\rho(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0, \\ -G_0 t \sin \alpha(t) & 0 \leq t < t_0, \\ G_0(t - 2t_0) \sin \alpha(t) & t_0 \leq t. \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0, \\ -\frac{G_0}{2|p_2|} \cos \alpha(t) & 0 \leq t < t_0, \\ \frac{G_0}{2|p_2|} (\cos \alpha(t) - 2 \cot \alpha_0 \sin \alpha(t)) & t_0 \leq t, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha_0 = \alpha(t_0)$ . This trajectory is formed by two pieces of circumferences, one for the negative frequencies centered at  $(-G_0/4|p_2|, 0)$  which continues with another one centered at  $(G_0/4|p_2|, -G_0 t_0)$ , as shown in Fig. 1(B).

To summarize these analysis, note that in the homogeneous field case, the measurements on the magnetization of the object are always performed in the Fourier domain. In contrast, the  $\rho$ - $\alpha$  space analysis shows that quadratic fields induce a time evolution on the domain where the measurements are performed. This evolution can be studied through the time evolution of the transform order  $a$ . This remarkable connection shows that not only the FrFT allows us to handle MRI with quadratic fields, but also induces a physical interpretation to the transform order  $a$ .

#### 4. Reconstruction from samples acquired in fractional domains

In the previous sections, we described how the fractional Fourier transform lends itself to the study of the signal equation that results when quadratic fields are being considered. In this section, we deal with the problem of reconstructing the magnetization of the object from this measured data. Notice that in the presence of quadratic field inhomogeneities, the measured data will not correspond to a specific frequency  $k$  as in the undistorted Fourier case, but to a fractional frequency  $u$  with transform order  $a$ . Formally, this problem can be described as follows. Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  be the underlying magnetization. Let  $M$  be a fixed positive integer. Our problem is to find an approximation  $\tilde{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  from the samples:

$$S^{a_m}(\rho_m) = \mathcal{F}^{a_m}(f)(\rho_m),$$

where  $\{(\rho_m, a_m)\}_{m=-M}^M$  is a sequence of fractional frequencies  $\rho$  associated to their transform orders  $a_m$ . In this section, we will use the theoretical framework introduced in Section 2 to propose a new method to solve this problem. We begin with the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** For all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  fixed, we have

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{F}^a(f), \psi_n \rangle e^{in\frac{\pi}{2}a} \psi_n, \tag{4.1}$$

where the equality is to be understood in the  $L^2$ -norm.

For a proof, see the Appendix. It is clear from (4.1) that the discrete sequence of samples  $\{S^{a_m}(\rho_m)\}_{m=-M}^M$  does not provide enough information to reconstruct a function  $f$  using the inverse FrFT. As a result, the reconstruction is not unique and it is in fact an interpolation problem. The standard approach is to consider that the measured data is enough to approximate the



inner products in (4.1) as

$$\langle \mathcal{F}^a(f), \psi_n \rangle \approx \sum_{m=-M}^M S^{a_m}(\rho_m) \psi_n(\rho_m) \Delta \rho_m, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (4.2)$$

where we have replaced the fractional frequencies  $\rho$  and transform order  $a$  by the values of the sequence  $\{S^{a_m}(\rho_m)\}_{m=-M}^M$  and added  $\Delta \rho_m$  as a suitable finite approximation for the differential  $d\rho$ . Concretely, we approximate the integrals in the inner products by means of Riemann sums. This technique is commonly referenced as “assuming that the unmeasured data is equal to zero”. However, this statement has no formal interpretation in our framework. This is because (4.1) is to be understood in the  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  sense and consequently there is no consistent way to interpret the point-value of a function. Nevertheless, (4.2) is to be understood as a definition of the approximation of the inner products using Riemann sums, allowing us to overcome this conceptual problem. For simplicity, in what follows we will assume that  $\Delta \rho_m \equiv 1$  for the relevant values of  $m$ .

In what follows, we denote (cf. Lemma 2.4)

$$K_\rho^\alpha(u) := \sqrt{1 - i \cot \alpha} e^{i\pi(\rho^2 \cot \alpha - 2\rho u \csc \alpha + u^2 \cot \alpha)}. \quad (4.3)$$

From Theorem 4.1, we deduce the following reconstruction formula

$$f(u) \approx \tilde{f}(u) = \sum_{m=-M}^M S^{a_m}(\rho_m) K_{\rho_m}^{-\frac{\pi}{2} a_m}(u). \quad (4.4)$$

In fact, putting (4.2) in (4.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) &\approx \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=-M}^M S^{a_m}(\rho_m) \psi_k(\rho_m) \right) e^{in\frac{\pi}{2} a_m} \psi_n(u) \\ &= \sum_{m=-M}^M S^{a_m}(u_m) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n(\rho_m) e^{in\frac{\pi}{2} a_m} \psi_n(u) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and hence (4.4) follows from Lemma 2.4.

Clearly, the reconstruction  $\tilde{f}$  given in (4.4) is not identical to the true image  $f$ . Note that

$$\tilde{f}(u) = \sum_{m=-M}^M S^{a_m}(\rho_m) \sqrt{1 + i \cot \alpha_m} e^{-i\pi(\rho_m^2 \cot \alpha_m - 2\rho_m u \csc \alpha_m + u^2 \cot \alpha_m)}, \quad (4.5)$$

where  $\alpha_m = \frac{\pi}{2} a_m$ . It is remarkable that the above formula coincides with the approach to the discrete fractional Fourier transform given by Ozaktas et al. [3, p. 218–219]. On the other hand, it corresponds to a direct extension of the windowed Fourier reconstruction method in MRI (see [25, p. 195]) which is recovered in the case  $\alpha_m = \pi/2$ . In fact, in this case we have  $\rho_m = k_m$  and  $a_m \equiv 1$  so that

$$f(u) \approx \tilde{f}(u) = \sum_{m=-M}^M S(k_m) e^{2\pi i k_m u}, \quad (4.6)$$

where we denote  $S(k_m) \equiv S^1(k_m)$ .

The remarkable point now is that, using approximation formulas for semigroups, we can obtain other reconstruction methods. Among all possible approximation formulas arising from this theory, we use as an example that of Theorem 2.7, to deduce the following result.


**Theorem 4.2.** For all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  we have,

$$f = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} e^{aq} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-aq)^n}{n!} e^{-i\frac{n}{q} m} \langle \mathcal{F}^a f, \psi_m \rangle \psi_m,$$

where the equality is understood in the  $L^2$ -norm.

For a proof, see Appendix. From Theorem 4.2 we deduce the following reconstruction formula

$$\tilde{f}(u) = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} e^{a_m q} \sum_{m=-M}^M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-a_m q)^n}{n!} S^{a_m}(\rho_m) K_{\rho_m}^{\frac{n}{q}}(u).$$



**Fig. 2.** Comparison between the original function (dotted line), its standard Fourier transform reconstruction (solid gray line) and the fractional Fourier transform reconstruction (solid black line). Fig. 2(A)–(C) show the cases when the data was acquired on fractional domains corresponding to transform orders  $a$  equal to  $2/3$ ,  $1/2$  and  $1/3$ .

Observe that in the particular Fourier case  $a_m = 1$  and  $\rho_m = k_m$ , we obtain a new reconstruction formula only in terms of the Fourier transform samples:

$$\tilde{f}(u) = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} e^q \sum_{m=-M}^M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-q)^n}{n!} S(k_m) K_{k_m}^{\frac{n}{q}}(u), \tag{4.7}$$

which in the customary form reads:

$$\tilde{f}(u) = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} e^q \sum_{m=-M}^M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-q)^n}{n!} S(k_m) e^{-i\pi(k_m^2 \cot(\frac{n}{q}) - 2k_m u \csc(\frac{n}{q}) + u^2 \cot(\frac{n}{q}))}.$$

To implement the reconstruction formula defined in Theorem 4.2, we must consider a finite value for  $q$ . In this case, the approximation has two interesting features. First, in windowed Fourier reconstructions the samples are multiplied by a set of scalars to suppress the high-frequency content in the reconstruction. This set of scalars is normally chosen manually. In the proposed approximation these coefficients arise naturally and are independent of the number of samples, sampling frequency or the frequency content of the original signal. Second, in windowed Fourier reconstruction the samples are multiplied by a set of scalars, whereas in our method the exponentials are multiplied by a set of scalars. It is also interesting to note that exponentials corresponding to different transform orders are mixed to produce a suitable reconstruction that, by virtue of Theorem 4.2, converges to the original signal. This suggests that the approximation formulas arising in strongly continuous group theory allow us to explore new frameworks for FrFT reconstructions and windowed Fourier reconstructions.


### 5. Numerical experiments

In this section, we will show some numerical experiments that represent a generic MR reconstruction. Concretely, we will consider a 1D object of 5 cm with a constant magnetization equal to 1 centered at the origin. A FOV (Field of View, i.e., the size of the interval over which the signal will be reconstructed) of 10 cm and 257 samples will be acquired, i.e.,  $M = 128$ . In a standard MRI application, this means that the acquisition step is  $\Delta\rho_m = 5 \times 10^{-2}$  and  $\rho_M = 6.4$ . The measurements were performed at transform orders  $a$  equal to 1,  $2/3$ ,  $1/2$  and  $1/3$ . In each case, the magnetization was reconstructed using the standard Fourier reconstruction and the FrFT reconstruction. The comparison between the reconstructions using the FrFT (4.5) and the standard Fourier reconstruction (4.6) can be seen in Fig. 2 for  $a$  equal to  $2/3$ ,  $1/2$  and  $1/3$ . Note that, as expected, the FrFT reconstruction recovers very well the original signal, whereas the standard Fourier reconstruction fails. Note also that as  $a$  decreases, the standard Fourier reconstruction becomes increasingly inaccurate. On the other hand, the FrFT reconstruction slightly improves its accuracy as  $a$  decreases.

To study the advantages of the reconstruction method proposed in Theorem 4.2, we restate first the reconstruction formula as

$$\tilde{f}(u) = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=-M}^M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^n}{n!} e^{-q} S^{a_m}(\rho_m) K_{\rho_m}^{-\frac{n}{q} a_m}(u). \tag{5.1}$$

This formula involves an infinite series and a limit. However, the weighting factors, which correspond to the coefficients of the exponential series, decay rapidly to zero and thus only a fraction of the coefficients in the series contribute in a



**Fig. 3.** Comparison between the original function (dotted line), its standard FrFT reconstruction (solid gray line) and the proposed approximation formula (solid black line). Fig. 3(A)–(C) show both reconstructions and the original function for  $q$  equal to 250, 2500 and 25,000, respectively. Fig. 3(D)–(F) show the details of the above plots near the discontinuity of the original signal, showing how the value of  $q$  controls the smoothness of the reconstruction.

significant way to the reconstruction. The drawback is that these weights involve factorials which become increasingly difficult to accurately calculate as  $n$  increases. To overcome these difficulties, we begin by approximating these factors by a Gaussian function. We use the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** For sufficiently large  $q$ , we have:

$$\frac{q^n}{n!} e^{-q} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi q}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(n-q)^2}{q}}. \tag{5.2}$$

For a proof, see Appendix. The proposed approximation becomes more accurate as  $q$  increases, which is exactly the kind of behavior in which we are interested. Also, the approximation allows us to estimate the error produced in truncating the series by means of the standard deviation of said approximation, which in turn depends on the value of  $q$ . Define

$$c_q(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi q}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(n-q)^2}{q}}. \tag{5.3}$$

Since the summation index is independent of the samples  $S^\alpha(\rho_m)$ , we can interchange the sums in (5.1). Define the functions

$$\phi_q^\alpha(\rho, u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_q(n) K_\rho^{-\frac{n}{q}\alpha}(u). \tag{5.4}$$

Using this notation, we can construct a one-parameter family of reconstructions for  $f$  given by

$$\tilde{f}_q(u) = \sum_{m=-M}^M S^{\alpha m}(\rho_m) \phi_q^{-\alpha m}(\rho_m, u). \tag{5.5}$$

The reconstruction defined in (5.1) can be thought as a suitable smoothing of the set of kernels  $K_\rho^\alpha(u)$ . This contrasts with the standard approach of smoothing the data, i.e., multiplying the samples  $S^{\alpha m}(\rho_m)$  by a suitable set of scalars to obtain better

reconstructions. To show the benefits of the proposed approximation formula, we are going to show the results for  $a = 1$  and for  $q$  equal to 250, 2500 and 25,000. In this case, we are using essentially (4.6) and (4.7) to obtain the reconstructions. The results can be seen in Fig. 3. The parameter  $q$  controls the smoothness of the reconstruction. For low values of  $q$ , the approximation  $\tilde{f}_q$  is smooth and consequently does not reconstruct the discontinuities well enough. As  $q$  increases, the discontinuities become more evident, as does the Gibbs phenomenon.

### 6. Discussion

In this work, we formulated the fractional Fourier transform (FrFT) within the context of strongly continuous semigroups. As stated in the introduction, the FrFT constitutes a one-parameter family of operators that continuously produce interpolations between the identity operator  $I$  and the Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}$ . The proposed framework shows that this family of operators is also endowed with a functional analytic structure, namely that of a strongly continuous semigroup. As a consequence of the uniqueness of the solution to the associated abstract Cauchy problem of first order, interpolations that share the same algebraic structure are equal, up to renormalization, to the FrFT. Additionally, the proposed framework suggests a different interpretation to the operator  $\mathcal{F}^a$  as a function of the transform order  $a$ . Traditionally, in the signal processing literature the transform order has been thought as a fixed quantity. The proposed framework gives a *dynamic* interpretation of the order, as it can represent time evolution of a function. Also, this framework allows us to exploit approximation results from this theory to propose new methods for reconstruction problems.

Our work also shows the natural connection between the FrFT and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) done with quadratic fields. Not only the FrFT appears naturally in this context, but it also endows the transform order  $a$  with a natural physical interpretation which is consistent with the one suggested by the semigroup framework. Concretely, quadratic fields induce the time evolution of the domain where the measurements on the magnetization of the object are being performed, from the Fourier domain to fractional domains. This contrasts with MRI performed with homogeneous fields, where the measurements are always performed on the Fourier domain.

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank CONICYT for their funding through the grants FONDECYT 1070675, FONDECYT 1100529, FONDEF D05I10358 and PROYECTO ANILLO PBCT-ACT-79. C. Sing-Long would like to thank Prof. M. Ashbaugh and Prof. R. Benguria for their valuable comments and suggestions.

### Appendix

**Proof of Proposition 2.1.** Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Since clearly  $\psi_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  it follows that  $P_n f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and we have  $P_m(P_n f) = \langle P_n f, \psi_m \rangle \psi_m = \langle f, \psi_n \rangle \langle \psi_n, \psi_m \rangle \psi_m$ . Hence, assertion (i) follows from the orthogonality of the set  $\{\psi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we deduce  $\|P_n f\|^2 = |\langle f, \psi_n \rangle|^2 \|\psi_n\|^2 \leq \|f\|^2 \|\psi_n\|^2 = \|f\|^2$ , which implies assertion (ii). To prove assertion (iii), we use the identity [3, Table 2.8 p. 41]

$$\psi_n''(u) + 4\pi^2 \left( \frac{2n+1}{2\pi} - u^2 \right) \psi_n(u) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$-in \frac{\pi}{2} \psi_n(u) = \frac{i}{2} \left( \frac{1}{4} \psi_n''(u) - \pi^2 u^2 \psi_n(u) + \frac{\pi}{2} \psi_n(u) \right) = \mathcal{H} \psi_n(u).$$

Hence

$$P_n \mathcal{H} f = \psi_n \langle \mathcal{H} f, \psi_n \rangle = \psi_n \langle f, -\mathcal{H} \psi_n \rangle = \psi_n \left\langle f, in \frac{\pi}{2} \psi_n \right\rangle = -in \frac{\pi}{2} P_n f,$$

and

$$\mathcal{H} P_n f = \langle f, \psi_n \rangle \mathcal{H} \psi_n = -in \frac{\pi}{2} P_n f.$$

Assertion (iv) follows directly from the fact that  $\{\psi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a complete orthogonal system in the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Finally, assertion (v) follows from classical results in semigroup theory (see e.g. [27, Chapter 1, Section 4 and Chapter II, Theorem 1.4]). □

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** We first prove that for all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  we have  $T(t)f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . In fact, for  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t)f\|^2 &= \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-in\frac{\pi}{2}t} P_n f, \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{-im\frac{\pi}{2}t} P_m f \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{-i(n-m)\frac{\pi}{2}t} \langle P_m P_n f, f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle P_n f, f \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle \psi_n, f \rangle|^2 = \|f\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

The above identity implies the claim and that

$$\|T(t)\| \leq 1, \tag{A.2}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . From Proposition 2.1 (i) and (iv) we deduce the group property  $T(t + s) = T(t)T(s)$  and  $T(0) = I$ . In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(t)T(s)f &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{-in\frac{\pi}{2}t} e^{-im\frac{\pi}{2}s} P_n P_m f \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-in\frac{\pi}{2}(t+s)} P_n f = T(t + s)f. \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

Finally, we claim that  $T(t)f \rightarrow f$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  which shows that  $T(t)$  is strongly continuous. In fact, since the domain of  $\mathcal{H}$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , it is sufficient to prove the claim for  $f \in D(\mathcal{H})$ . Let  $g = \mathcal{H}f$ . We have by Proposition 2.1 (iv) and (ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t)f - f\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (e^{-in\frac{\pi}{2}t} - 1) P_n f \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \frac{2i}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (e^{-in\frac{\pi}{2}t} - 1) \frac{1}{n} P_n g \right\|^2 \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |e^{-in\frac{\pi}{2}t} - 1|^2 \frac{1}{n^2} \|P_n g\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2}{n^2} - 2 \frac{\cos(n\pi t/2)}{n^2} \right) \|g\|^2 \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{\pi^2 t}{2} - \frac{\pi^2 t^2}{8} \right) \|g\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

where in the last equality we have used the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos ku}{k^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{\pi u}{2} + \frac{u^2}{4},$$

valid for  $u \in [0, 2\pi]$  (see [28, Formula 1.443(3)]). This proves the claim. Finally, it is clear from the definition that  $T(t)$  has period 4. That  $T(1)$  is the Fourier transform of  $f$  follows from their representation in terms of the Gauss–Hermite functions (see e.g. [3]).  $\square$

**Proof of Lemma 2.4.** All the integrals in the proof are to be taken over  $\mathbb{R}$ . We will need the following two identities:

$$e^{2us-s^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n(u) \frac{s^n}{n!}, \tag{A.5}$$

$$e^{-u^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int e^{2isu-s^2} ds. \tag{A.6}$$

The first one corresponds to the exponential generating function of the Hermite polynomials [28, Formula 8.957(1)], which is valid for  $u, s \in \mathbb{C}$ , whereas the second corresponds to the Gaussian integral [28, Formulas 9.241(1) and 9.251], which is valid for  $u \in \mathbb{C}$ . Using the Rodrigues formula for the Hermite polynomials (cf. (2.2)) we obtain

$$H_n(u) = \frac{(-2i)^n}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{u^2} \int s^n e^{2ius-s^2} ds.$$

Hence, by the definition of  $\psi_n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n(\rho) e^{-in\alpha} \psi_n(u) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-in\alpha} \psi_n(u) \frac{2^{1/4}}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} H_n(\sqrt{2\pi} \rho) e^{-\pi \rho^2} \\ &= 2^{1/4} e^{-\pi \rho^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-in\alpha} \psi_n(u) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} H_n(\sqrt{2\pi} \rho) \\ &= 2^{1/4} e^{-\pi \rho^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-in\alpha} \psi_n(u) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} \frac{(-2i)^n}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{2\pi \rho^2} \int s^n e^{2i\sqrt{2\pi} \rho s - s^2} ds \\ &= 2^{1/4} e^{-\pi \rho^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-in\alpha} \frac{2^{1/4}}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} H_n(\sqrt{2\pi} u) e^{-\pi u^2} \frac{(-2i)^n}{\sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{2^n n!}} e^{2\pi \rho^2} \int s^n e^{2i\sqrt{2\pi} \rho s - s^2} ds \\ &= 2^{1/2} e^{\pi \rho^2} e^{-\pi u^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-in\alpha} \frac{(-i)^n}{n!} H_n(\sqrt{2\pi} u) \int s^n e^{2i\sqrt{2\pi} \rho s - s^2} ds \\ &= e^{\pi \rho^2} e^{-\pi u^2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-is e^{-i\alpha})^n}{n!} H_n(\sqrt{2\pi} u) \right) e^{2i\sqrt{2\pi} \rho s - s^2} ds. \end{aligned}$$

We must verify the summation under the integral sign in the last step. Observe that the sum  $S := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-ise^{-i\alpha})^n \frac{1}{n!} H_n(\sqrt{2\pi} x)$  occurring here is like that in (A.5). The series in (A.5) is the product of the Taylor series of  $e^{2xz}$  and  $e^{-z^2}$ , as functions of  $z$ . Its coefficients  $H_n(x)$  are clearly dominated by those of the product of the expansions of  $e^{2|x||z|}$  and  $e^{|z|^2}$ . Thus, any partial sum of the series in (A.5) is dominated by  $e^{2|x||z|} e^{|z|^2}$ . If this is applied to  $S$ , we bound the partial sums of  $S$  by an integrable function. This justifies the exchange between the sum and the integral. Combining now with (A.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n(\rho) e^{-in\alpha} \psi_n(u) &= e^{\pi \rho^2} e^{-\pi u^2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-is e^{-i\alpha})^n}{n!} H_n(\sqrt{2\pi} u) \right) e^{2i\sqrt{2\pi} \rho s - s^2} ds \\ &= e^{\pi(\rho^2 - u^2)} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int e^{2\sqrt{2\pi} u(-is e^{-i\alpha}) - (-is e^{-i\alpha})^2} e^{2i\sqrt{2\pi} \rho s - s^2} ds \\ &= e^{\pi(\rho^2 - u^2)} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int e^{-2i\sqrt{2\pi} us e^{-i\alpha} + s^2} e^{-i2\alpha} e^{2i\sqrt{2\pi} \rho s - s^2} ds \\ &= e^{\pi(\rho^2 - u^2)} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int e^{-s^2(1 - e^{-i2\alpha})} e^{2i\sqrt{2\pi} s(\rho - u e^{-i\alpha})} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using the change of variables  $\tau = s\sqrt{1 - e^{-i2\alpha}}$  on the last integral:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n(\rho) e^{-in\alpha} \psi_n(u) = e^{\pi(\rho^2 - u^2)} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi(1 - e^{-i2\alpha})}} \int e^{-\tau^2} e^{2i\sqrt{2\pi} \frac{(\rho - u e^{-i\alpha})\tau}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-i2\alpha}}}} d\tau.$$

Comparing with (A.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n(\rho) e^{-in\alpha} \psi_n(u) &= e^{\pi(\rho^2 - u^2)} \sqrt{\frac{2}{1 - e^{-i2\alpha}}} e^{-2\pi \frac{(\rho - u e^{-i\alpha})^2}{1 - e^{-i2\alpha}}} \\ &= e^{\pi(\rho^2 - u^2)} \sqrt{\frac{e^{i\alpha}}{i \sin \alpha}} e^{-\frac{\pi e^{i\alpha}}{i \sin \alpha} (\rho - u e^{-i\alpha})^2} \\ &= \sqrt{1 - i \cot \alpha} e^{-2\pi i \left( -\frac{1}{2} \rho^2 \cot \alpha + \rho u \csc \alpha - \frac{1}{2} u^2 \cot \alpha \right)}, \end{aligned}$$

proving the lemma.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.6.** To prove assertion (i), let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the infinitesimal generator of  $T(t)$ . Then, by the definition of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $T(t)$  and Proposition 2.1(iii) we have

$$\mathcal{A} \psi_m = T'(t)|_{t=0} \psi_m = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} -in \frac{\pi}{2} P_n \psi_m = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H} P_n \psi_m = \mathcal{H} \psi_m,$$

which shows that  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}^* = -\mathcal{H}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{H}$  is skew-adjoint, assertion (ii) follows from [27, Chapter 1, Section 3.5]. The remaining assertions are consequences of the periodicity of  $T(t)$  (see [27, Chapter IV, Section 2(c)]).  $\square$



**Proof of Theorem 2.7.** Since  $\{T(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  constitutes a strongly continuous semigroup with  $\|T(t)\| \leq 1$ , from [27, p. 216] we have:

$$T(t)f = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} e^{-tq} e^{tqT(1/q)}f, \quad t \geq 0,$$

and hence the result follows from the expansion in the Taylor series of the exponential function, and the group property.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Consider  $\alpha(t)$  and  $\rho(t)$  as defined by (3.5) and (3.6). If we use the branch of  $\cot^{-1}$  such that  $\alpha(t) \in [0, \pi]$ , then  $\csc \alpha(t) > 0$  for all values of  $t$  and consequently we can write

$$\begin{aligned} -2p_2t &= \cot \alpha(t), \\ -2(k(t) + p_1t) &= -2\rho(t) \csc \alpha(t). \end{aligned}$$

By replacing these expressions in (3.2) we have

$$s(t) = e^{-i2\pi p_0t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u) e^{i\pi(u^2 \cot \alpha - 2\rho u \csc \alpha)} du, \tag{A.7}$$

where we have dropped the dependence of the variable  $t$  for simplicity. Using (3.3) and (3.4) on (A.7) yields the relation

$$s(t) = e^{-i2\pi p_0t} C_\alpha(\rho)^{-1} \mathcal{F}^\alpha(f)(\rho),$$

or:

$$\mathcal{F}^{\alpha(t)}(f)(\rho(t)) = e^{i2\pi p_0t} C_{\alpha(t)}(\rho(t))s(t),$$

proving the theorem.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.** Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  be given. For all  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  we have by Proposition 2.1

$$g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle g, \psi_n \rangle \psi_n.$$

Setting  $g = \mathcal{F}^a(f)$  we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}^a(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{F}^a(f), \psi_n \rangle \psi_n.$$

Using the fact that  $\mathcal{F}^a \equiv T(a) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ , we get

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{F}^a(f), \psi_n \rangle \mathcal{F}^{-a}(\psi_n).$$

Since  $P_n \psi_m = \psi_n$  if  $n = m$  and 0 otherwise, we deduce that  $\mathcal{F}^{-a}(\psi_n) = e^{in\frac{\pi}{2}a} \psi_n$ , and hence

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{F}^a(f), \psi_n \rangle e^{in\frac{\pi}{2}a} \psi_n. \quad \square \tag{A.8}$$

**Proof of Theorem 4.2.** Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  be given. Using the semigroup notation, define  $g := T(a)f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . From Theorem 2.7 and Definition 2.3 we have

$$T(-a)g = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} e^{aq} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-aq)^n}{n!} T\left(\frac{n}{q}\right)g.$$

Remark that

$$T\left(\frac{n}{q}\right)g = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{-i\frac{n}{q}m} P_m g = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{-i\frac{n}{q}m} \langle g, \psi_m \rangle \psi_m.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f &= T(-a)g = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} e^{aq} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-aq)^n}{n!} e^{-i\frac{n}{q}m} \langle g, \psi_m \rangle \psi_m \\ &= \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} e^{aq} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-aq)^n}{n!} e^{-i\frac{n}{q}m} \langle T(a)f, \psi_m \rangle \psi_m. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $T(a)f = \mathcal{F}^a(f)$  we obtain the desired result.

**Proof of Lemma 5.1.** This approximation is an application of the central limit theorem to a Poisson distributed random variable. Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$  and let  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed Poisson random variables with parameter  $\lambda$ . Note that  $\mathbb{E}\{X_n\} \equiv \lambda$  and  $\mathbb{V}\{X_n\} \equiv \lambda$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Define

$$S_N := \sum_{n=1}^N X_n.$$

The central limit theorem tells us that

$$\frac{S_N - N\lambda}{\sqrt{N\lambda}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

in distribution as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, for  $N$  sufficiently large

$$S_N \sim \mathcal{N}(N\lambda, \sqrt{N\lambda}).$$

But the sum of independent Poisson random variables distributes as a Poisson random variable. In other words,  $S_N \sim \text{Poisson}(N\lambda)$ . Setting  $q = N\lambda$  concludes the proof. Note that the Poisson distribution has finite third moment. Consequently, by the Berry–Esseen theorem [29, Section XVI.5], the convergence ratio is at least of the order of  $N^{-1/2}$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] H. Kober, Wurzeln aus der Hankel-, Fourier- und aus anderen stetigen Transformationen, *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics* os-10 (1939) 45–59.
- [2] V. Namias, The fractional order Fourier transform and its application to quantum mechanics, *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics* 25 (1980) 241–265.
- [3] H.M. Ozaktas, Z. Zalevsky, M.A. Kutay, *The Fractional Fourier Transform: With Applications in Optics and Signal Processing*, in: Wiley Series in Pure and Applied Optics, Wiley, 2001.
- [4] F.H. Kerr, Namias fractional Fourier-transforms on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and applications to differential-equations, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 136 (1988) 404–418.
- [5] T. Alieva, V. Lopez, F. Agullo Lopez, L.B. Almeida, The fractional Fourier transform in optical propagation problems, *Journal of Modern Optics* 41 (1994) 1037–1044.
- [6] D. Mendlovic, H.M. Ozaktas, Fractional Fourier transforms and their optical implementation: I, *Journal of the Optical Society of America A* 10 (1993) 1875–1881.
- [7] H.M. Ozaktas, D. Mendlovic, Fourier-transforms of fractional order and their optical interpretation, *Optics Communications* 101 (1993) 163–169.
- [8] H.M. Ozaktas, D. Mendlovic, Fractional Fourier transforms and their optical implementation: II, *Journal of the Optical Society of America A* 10 (1993) 2522–2531.
- [9] A. Bultheel, H. Martinez-Sulbaran, Recent developments in the theory of the fractional Fourier and linear canonical transforms, *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society-Simon Stevin* 13 (2006) 971–1005.
- [10] S.T. Liu, J.D. Xu, Y. Zhang, L.X. Chen, C.F. Li, General optical implementations of fractional Fourier-transforms, *Optics Letters* 20 (1995) 1053–1055.
- [11] A. Sahin, H.M. Ozaktas, D. Mendlovic, Optical implementation of the 2-dimensional fractional Fourier-transform with different orders in the 2 dimensions, *Optics Communications* 120 (1995) 134–138.
- [12] D. Mendlovic, H.M. Ozaktas, A.W. Lohmann, Fractional correlation, *Applied Optics* 34 (1995) 303–309.
- [13] L.B. Almeida, The fractional Fourier-transform and time–frequency representations, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing* 42 (1994) 3084–3091.
- [14] X. Guanlei, W. Xiaotong, X. Xiaogang, Generalized entropic uncertainty principle on fractional Fourier transform, *Signal Processing* 89 (2009) 2692–2697.
- [15] K.K. Sharma, S.D. Joshi, Uncertainty principle for real signals in the linear canonical transform domains, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing* 56 (2008) 2677–2683.
- [16] S. Shinde, V.M. Gadre, An uncertainty principle for real signals in the fractional Fourier transform domain, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing* 49 (2001) 2545–2548.
- [17] L.B. Almeida, Product and convolution theorems for the fractional Fourier transform, *IEEE Signal Processing Letters* 4 (1997) 15–17.
- [18] K.K. Sharma, S.D. Joshi, Fractional Fourier transform of bandlimited periodic signals and its sampling theorems, *Optics Communications* 256 (2005) 272–278.
- [19] X.G. Xia, On bandlimited signals with fractional Fourier transform, *IEEE Signal Processing Letters* 3 (1996) 72–74.
- [20] B.P. Sutton, D.C. Noll, J.A. Fessler, Fast iterative reconstruction for MRI in the presence of field inhomogeneities, *IEEE Transactions Medical Imaging* 22 (2003) 178–188.
- [21] J.Y. Lee, L. Greengard, The type 3 nonuniform FFT and its applications, *Journal of Computational Physics* 206 (2005) 1–5.
- [22] J.A. Goldstein, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications*, in: Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, USA, 1985.
- [23] K.N. Khan, W. Lamb, A.C. McBride, Fractional transformations of generalized functions, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions* 20 (2009) 471–490.
- [24] A.C. McBride, F.H. Kerr, On namias' fractional Fourier transforms, *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics* 39 (1987) 159–175.
- [25] Z.-P. Liang, P.C. Lauterbur, *Principles of Magnetic Resonance Imaging: A Signal Processing Perspective*, Wiley-IEEE Press, 1999.
- [26] P. Irarrazabal, C.H. Meyer, D.G. Nishimura, A. Macovski, Inhomogeneity correction using an estimated linear field map, *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 35 (1996) 278–282.
- [27] K.-J. Engel, R. Nagel, *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*, in: Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 194, Springer, 2000.
- [28] I.S. Gradshteyn, I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, 2nd edition, Academic Press, 1980.
- [29] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, 2nd ed., in: Wiley Series on Probability and Statistics, vol. 2, John Wiley & Sons, 1970.